1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

v.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

authority, and is fully informed.

Plaintiff,

Piamum

CHRISTOPHER J. ROUTE,

Defendant.

NO: CR-11-90-RMP

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING FURLOUGH

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Christopher J. Route's motion, filed on the evening of August 15, 2012, for the Court to reconsider the decision of the United States Magistrate Judge denying Mr. Route's motion for a furlough from federal detention to attend his father's funeral in California.

Defendant is represented in this matter by CJA-appointed counsel Douglas D. Phelps, and Assistant United States Attorney Aine Ahmed represents the

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING FURLOUGH $\sim 1\,$

Government. The Court has reviewed the submitted materials, the relevant

The Government charged Defendant with Distribution of a Mixture or Substance Containing Cocaine Base in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) (Count 1) and Possession with Intent to Distribute 28 Grams or More of Cocaine Base in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) (Count 2). ECF No. 3. Defendant pleaded guilty to Count 2 of the Indictment on June 21, 2012. The Court has scheduled sentencing for September 18, 2012.

According to the declaration of Mr. Route's counsel, Mr. Phelps, Mr. Route's father died on August 2, 2012, and his funeral, as of August 8, was scheduled for August 17, 2012. ECF No. 75 (sealed by Court due to the presence of personal identifiers). The United States Magistrate Judge heard the motion and issued an order on August 9, 2012, denying the motion for furlough, reasoning that "[i]nsufficient information has been presented to reasonably assure that Defendant would be supervisable during [the] furlough." ECF No. 77.

When detention is ordered "by a magistrate, or by a person other than a judge of a court having original jurisdiction over the offense ..., the person may file, with the court having original jurisdiction over the offense, a motion for revocation or amendment of the order." 18 U.S.C. § 3145(b). This Court reviews de novo the issue presented to the Magistrate. *See United States v. Koenig*, 912 F.2d 1190 (9th Cir.1990).

/ / /

/ / /

Defendant provides no information regarding potential travel plans or who would be hosting Defendant overnight during a stay in California. Rather, he advises the Court that he was furloughed for his mother's funeral in California seven years ago "without incident." ECF No. 79 at 2. Moreover, the Defendant's motion seeking review of the Magistrate's decision was filed less than 48 hours from the funeral date contained in the record.

Having independently reviewed the record in this matter, and while empathizing with Mr. Route's desire to attend his father's funeral, this Court finds that the Magistrate's determination was correct and **DENIES** Defendant's motion for reconsideration of the order denying the furlough, **ECF No. 79**. By statute, Defendant is to be detained pending sentencing by default, *see* 18 U.S.C. § 3143, and none of the enumerated exceptions applies here. Rather, the Court finds that Mr. Route has not demonstrated that any set of safeguards could reasonably ensure that Mr. Route would not be likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community during a furlough. Mr. Route has been convicted since his 2005

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING FURLOUGH ~ 3

furlough of escape from community custody, and he faces a significant sentencing range. See ECF No. 78. IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter this order and provide copies to counsel. **DATED** this 17th day of August 2012. s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON Chief United States District Court Judge

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING FURLOUGH ~ 4